HA/NA balance? The evidence



Chris Whittleston 6th May 2010

DISCLAIMER

I have not read **all** the papers I that I reference in detail. As a result, I cannot comment on the reliability of some of these experiments. I have tried to include the raw data here as much as possible so you can make up your own minds but to check the quality of the methods used, you need to look at the paper!

Previously...

- We have talked a lot about HA/NA balance before (there is whiskey on the line!) – but never discussed the evidence in the literature for a balance existing.
- We all agree that there must be <u>some</u> degree of balance between the activity of HA and NA (think of extreme cases).
- What remains less clear is the <u>extent</u> of this balance – how much change can be tolerated in either protein before compensation is required by the other.
- Still not 100% convinced myself, but I accept that there are many theories that explain how it <u>could</u> work – the problem is finding evidence to support them!



A quick recap: HA, NA (and HEF?!)

- Influenza A and B viruses have two surface glycoproteins, hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA), coded for by different gene segments.
- Both interact with sialic acid terminated glycan receptors
- HA binds to glycan receptors facilitating cell entry and membrane fusion.
- NA destroys glycan receptors to all progeny virion budding and disaggregation.

Note: Unlike A and B, Influenza C has a single glycoprotein (HEF) which performs all three functions on its own! There is one (3.2A) crystal structure for HEF but is there any genetic data? How much Influenza C surveillance is done?

Sources of evidence

- 1. Naturally occurring reassortments (1957 and 1968).
- 2. Lab generated reassortments.
- 3. NA inhibitor resistance studies (e.g. Zanamivir).
- 4. Crippling NA (no active site).
- 5. Varying NA 'stalk' length.

Naturally occurring reassortments

- 1957 H2N2 pandemic strain emerged after a reassortment between human (H) and avian (N) viruses.
- In 1968, there was a second reassortment: human HA2->HA3 (avian NA retained) giving H3N2.
- Baum and Paulson took 10 human viruses isolated between 1957 and 1987 and tested for NA and HA α(2,3)/α(2,6) linkage specificity.

Naturally occurring reassortments

Viruses used: A/RI/5+/57 A/RI/5-/57 A/Japan/305/57 A/Tokyo/3/67 A/Aichi/2/68 A/Hong Kong/8/68 A/Udorn/307/72 A/Memphis/102/72 A/Victoria/3/75 A/Texas/1/77 A/Los Angeles/2/87

• All were grown in eggs

• Avian NA gains activity for $\alpha(2,6)$ linked sialic acids

• NA retains dual specificity



Baum LG, Paulson JC, Virology 1991; 180: 10-15

Naturally occurring reassortments

response

Detector

Suzuki *et al* compared the activity of swine and human NAs.

Human NAs unable to cleave N-glycolyl (Neu5Gc) receptors

Swine NAs (except for Iowa!) could cleave both N-glycolyl and N-acetyl (Neu5Ac) receptors.

HPLC (on right) used to show that N-glycolyl receptors are absent in the human respiratory tract

Pigs have both in a roughly 1:1 ratio.



Retention time (min)

Chromatograms of Neu5Ac and Neu5Gc obtained from tracheal epithelia of humans (B) and pigs (C). A shows a standard mixture of both Neu5Ac (peak 2) and Neu5Gc (peak 1).

Suzuki T, Horiike G, Yamazaki Y, et al., FEBS Lett 1997;404:192-196

- Kaverin *et al* took NA from the human A/USSR/90/77/H1N1 strain and made reassortants with avian H3/4/10/13 viruses.
- Reassortant viruses replicated poorly in eggs (virus aggregates were seen) compared to the avian parent viruses.
- HPLC showed high levels of sialic acids on the reassortant virus particles compared to the avian parent

Is human NA able to cleave the $\alpha(2,3)$ linked receptors present in eggs?

 R2: HA from A/Duck/Ukraine/1/63/H3N8 (HA), rest from A/USSR/90/77/H1N1

TABLE 1

The Content of Sialic Acid in Purified Virus Protein

Virus	Subtype	Sialic acid content (pM per 1 µg of virus protein) ^a			
A/Duck/Ukraine/1/63 A/USSR/90/77 R2	H3N8 H1N1 H3N1	0.42 ± 0.12 3.52 ± 0.28 3.55 ± 0.40			
Passage in eggs?					
^a Mean of four determinations \pm standard error. A separate virus					

preparation was used for each determination. Kaverin *et al.* Virology:

Kaverin *et al.* Virology;**244**:315-321

 R2: HA from A/Duck/Ukraine/1/63/H3N8 (HA), rest from A/USSR/90/77/H1N1

TABLE 1

The Content of Sialic Acid in Purified Virus Protein

Virus	Subtype	Sialic acid content (pM per 1 µg of virus protein) ^a
A/Duck/Ukraine/1/63	H3N8	0.42 ± 0.12
A/USSR/90/77	H1N1	3.52 ± 0.28
R2	H3N1	3.55 ± 0.40
R2-XXI	H3N1	2.65 ± 0.39
R2-XIIIa	H3N1	2.43 ± 0.25

^a Mean of four determinations ± standard error. A separate virus preparation was used for each determination.

Kaverin *et al.* Virology;**244**:315-321

- Both R2-XXI and R2-XIIIa have a mutation near the HA binding pocket - N248D
- HA binding affinity for high MW receptors was seen to decrease
- These variants grow well and do **not** aggregate!

TABLE 2

Changes in the Affinity of HA toward Sialic Acid-Containing Substrates Associated with the Loss of Virion Aggregation

		Substrate					
Virus	Fetuin–HRP conjugate	3'-Sialyllactose attached to a polyacrylic acid carrier	α- <i>N</i> -Acetyl neuraminic acid	3'-Sialyllactose			
R2 R2-XXI R2-XIIIa	0.16 ± 0.02 ^a 1.17 ± 0.12 0.95 ± 0.08	0.97 ± 0.14 19.2 ± 2.2 14.6 ± 3.0	312 ± 43 420 ± 51 513 ± 33	463 ± 60 770 ± 98 460 ± 92			

 a K_{aff} (expressed in μ M sialic acid) determined for fetuin conjugate by direct solid-phase enzyme-linked assay (Gambaryan and Matrosovich, 1992) and for SA-polyacrylate, Neu5Ac, and 3'-SL by competition assay (Gambaryan *et al.*, 1997). The data represent means ± standard error. For each substrate four preparations of each virus were assayed.

R2-XXI was passaged 21 times in eggs R2XIIIa was passaged 13 times in eggs

Kaverin et al. Virology;244:315-321

- NA inhibitor resistant mutants can be generated *in vitro* by passage in cell culture in the presence of an inhibitor such as Zanamivir (ZMR) or Oseltamivir (OTV).
- Two mechanisms for viruses to escape NA inhibitors have been observed:
- (a) make changes to conserved amino acids in the NA binding pocket to lower binding affinity to the specific inhibitor used.

- NA inhibitor resistant mutants can be generated *in vitro* by passage in cell culture in the presence of an inhibitor such as Zanamivir (ZMR) or Oseltamivir (OTV).
- Two mechanisms for viruses to escape NA inhibitors have been observed:
- (a) make changes to conserved amino acids in the NA binding pocket to lower binding affinity to the specific inhibitor used.
- (b) make changes in and around the HA binding pocket to reduce receptor affinity. This makes the virus less reliant on high NA activity!

McKimm-Breschkin JL et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1996;40:40-46

resistant viruses"					
Virus (drug used)	aci	amino id at ition:	HA amino acid at position ^b :		
	90	346	155	223	229
NWS/G70C parent	G	N	Т	V	R
Variant 1 (4-amino- Neu5Ac2en)	Q	Ν	Т	Ι	Ι
Variant 5 (4-amino- Neu5Ac2en and then 4- guanidino-Neu5Ac2en)	G	Ν	Т	V	S
Variant 7 (4-guanidino- Neu5Ac2en)	G	S	Α	V	R

TABLE 2. Sequence changes in the NA and HA proteins of resistant viruses^a

^{*a*} Amino acid numbering is based on the N2 NA and the H3 HA. Sequence changes are shown in boldface.

^b Changes were all in the HA1 chain.

• Mutations seen in NA were not anywhere near the receptor binding site. Mutations to HA however were!

McKimm-Breschkin JL et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1996;40:40-46

NWS/G70C WT

Variant 1

Variant 5

Variant 7



FIG. 1. Plaque inhibition assay with 4-guanidino-Neu5Ac2en in the overlay. Virus was plaqued, from left to right, without inhibitor and with 0.0003, 0.003, 0.03, 0.3, and 3 µg of 4-guanidino-Neu5Ac2en per ml. (a) NWS/G70C; (b) variant 1; (c) variant 5; (d) variant 7.

McKimm-Breschkin JL et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1996;40:40-46

Crippling NA (no active site)

- Mutants with an inactive NA can be created by serial passage in presence of anti-NA serum.
- The NA gene obtains a large deletion in its open reading frame plus a premature stop codon - only the stalk and transmembrane domain of the NA are expressed.
- Hughes *et al* reported that it is possible to get these mutants to replicate in eggs, mice or MDCK cells by repeated passage and selection for high growth variants:

Crippling NA (no active site)

• High growth variants have mutations to HA that reduce binding affinity

Virus ELISA titer		Hemagglutir	ation titer at:	Specific hemagglutination activity	Ratio of hemagglutination titer at 37°C	
viius	ELISA titel	4°C (HA ₄)	37°C (HA ₃₇)	$(HA_4/ELISA titer)$	to that at 4° C (HA ₃₇ /HA ₄)	
NWS-G70c 23∆NA CK2-29 E17A E17E M18B	6,000 2,000 12,000 5,000 3,200 6,600	$ \begin{array}{r} 1,600 \\ 320 \\ 320^{a} \\ 40^{a} \\ <10 \\ <10 \end{array} $	$1,200 \\ 320 \\ 40^{a} \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\ <10 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.03 \\ 0.01 \\ < 0.003 \\ < 0.003 \end{array}$	0.75 1.00 0.13 NA ^b NA NA	

TABLE 2.	Hemagglutination	activity of	parental	virus and	its NA	deletion	variants

^a Virus did not show complete agglutination.

^b NA, not available.

TABLE 3. A	Amino acid	changes i	n NA	deletion	variants
------------	------------	-----------	------	----------	----------

Virus	Relative receptor-			Amino acio	d changes in th	ne HA by H3 r	numbering (H1	numbering) ^b		
vitus	binding affinity ^a	96 (89)	132 (128)	135 (132)	140 (137)	141 (138)	145 (142)	193 (190)	205 (202)	220 (217)
NWS-G70c	+++++							S	V	
23ΔΝΑ	+++++	А	Т	V	S	Н	S	R	М	R
CK2-29	++			А			Ν			Κ
E17A	+	T^{c}		А			Ν			
E17E	-		Κ	А	Р		Ν		V	Κ
M18B	-			А	Р	Q	Ν			Κ

^{*a*} Based on hemagglutination data in Table 2. +++++, highest affinity; specific hemagglutinating activity, >0.2; ++, moderate affinity; specific hemagglutinating activity, 0.03; +, weak affinity; specific hemagglutinating activity, 0.01; -, affinity below the sensitivity of the assay.

^b Changes in the HA1 sequences represent differences between the $23\Delta NA$ and the other viruses, including the parental strain. Blank spaces indicate that the amino acid is identical to that in $23\Delta NA$.

^c Substitution A96T creates a potential glycosylation site at Asn-96.

Hughes et al. J. Virol 2000;74:5206-5212

 NAs with a short 'stalk' have been shown to be inefficient in releasing and disaggregating progeny virions.

 This is likely to be a geometric effect i.e. the active site is not held far enough from the viral membrane and so cannot interact with its substrate efficiently.

- Castrucci and Kawaoka created a set of mutants with stalk lengths between 0 and 52 AAs (the wild type A/WSN/33/H1N1 has a stalk length of 24).
- In MDCK cells, they were all able to replicate at least as well as the parent virus, but in eggs, the stalk length was well correlated with the efficiency of viral replication, the longer the better!

Virus	No. of amino acid residues in the NA stalk ^a	Titer in MDCK cells (log ₁₀ [PFU/ml])	Replication in eggs $(\log_{10} [EID_{50}^{b}/ml])$	PFU/EID ₅₀
Wild type [WSN(NA15)]	24	7.3	5.5	63
SD0	0	7.3	c	_
SD9	15	7.0	4.5	350
SA14	38	7.0	7.0	1
SA28	52	8.1	8.5	0.4

TABLE 1. Correlation between NA stalk length and virus replication in tissue culture and eggs

^a Based on assignment of Harley et al. (18).

^b EID₅₀, 50% egg infective dose.

Castrucci and Kawaoka . J Virol 1993;67:759-764

 Mitnaul *et* repeatedly passaged the SD0 mutant (no stalk) in eggs, selecting high growth variants.

• As with drug resistance, there were two mechanisms seen that allowed the recovery of efficient replication in eggs:

(a) **insertions** acquired in the NA the gene derived from NP, PB1 and PB2 genes - **reconstituting the stalk**.

• Mitnaul *et* repeatedly passaged the SD0 mutant (no stalk) in eggs, selecting high growth variants.

• As with drug resistance, there were two mechanisms seen that allowed the recovery of efficient replication in eggs:

(a) **insertions** acquired in the NA the gene derived from NP, PB1 and PB2 genes - **reconstituting the stalk**.

(b) mutations acquired around the **HA** binding site, reducing receptor binding affinity. High NA activity is therefore no longer required to prevent aggregation and facilitate budding!

Virus po	Egg passage at which	NA stalk insertion			
	positive hemaggluti- nation was detected	No. of amino acids inserted	Origin of gene insertion		
0EA	12	22	PB2		
7EA	12	10	PB2		
10EA	10	13	PB1		
18EA	8	18	PB2		
21EA	10	20	NP		
2EA	12	0	NA^b		
5EA	8	0	NA		
14EA	12	0	NA		
19EA	12	0	NA		
25EA	10	0	NA		

TABLE 1. Properties of egg-adapted SD0 strains^a

TABLE 3. Mutations in the HA gene of egg-adapted viruses^a

Virus	NA	Mutatio	Mutation(s) in:			
virus	insertion	HA1	HA2			
0EA 21EA 2EA	YES YES NO	95Asn→Asp 135Val→Ile 146Ser→Gly 262Arg→Lys	72Asn→Lys 106Arg→Lys			

^{*a*} For each adapted strain, HA cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcriptase PCR followed by cDNA sequencing as described in Materials and Methods.

Mitnaul et al. J Virol 2000;74:6015-6020

• HA binding affinity can also be modulated by **glycosylation**. It has been shown that removing N-glycans flanking the binding site gives improved HA binding.





Less HA glycosylation

Ohuchi et al. J Virol 1997;71:8377-8384

Discussion time!

Here are some thoughts to get us started:

- Are **you** convinced that there is a functional balance between HA and NA?
- What experiment(s) could we do to convince ourselves further?
- Other mechanisms could compensate for variability in one protein or the other without requiring a functional balance e.g. Altering the distribution of HA/NA on the virus, or a change in sialic acid expression in infected cells.
- What does this mean for fitness exchange?